

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/615,719	07/13/2000	REI MIYAMOTO	FQ5-481	4797
44987 75	90 12/28/2005		EXAM	INER
HARRITY SNYDER, LLP			NGUYEN, TOAN D	
11350 Random	Hills Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 600			ARTONII	TATER NOMBER
FAIRFAX, VA	22030		2665	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/615,719	MIYAMOTO, REI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Toan D. Nguyen	2665			
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the No period for reply specified above, the maximum statute. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended per	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 13 October 2005				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 October 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
<u> </u>		ers prosecution as to the merits is			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10 and 16</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 11</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5 and 12-15</u> is/are objected 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. to.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 July 2000 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the specific contents of the specific conten	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecton to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand ecorrection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been r I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
 2)		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/615,719 Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2-4, 6-7, 9 and 13-14 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 2 line 2, it is suggested to change "an average bandwidth" to --- the average bandwidth ---. Similar problem exists in claim 4 line 2.

In claim 3 line 2, it is suggested to change "existing QoS-unspecified traffic" to --the existing QoS-unspecified traffic ---. Similar problems exist in claim 9 line 5 and line
8, claim 13 line 2, and claim 14 line 3.

In claim 4 line 5, it is suggested to change "a first average QoS-unspecified traffic" to --- the first average QoS-unspecified traffic ---. Similar problems exist in claim 9 line 11, and claim 14 line 5.

In claim 6 line 6, it is suggested to change "for each QoS-unspecified" to --- for the each QoS-unspecified ---.

In claim 7 line 2, it is suggested to change "an average QoS-unspecified" to ---the average QoS-unspecified ---.

In claim 9 line 8, it is suggested to change "a link" to --- the link ---.

In claim 9 line 12, it is suggested to change "a QoS-unspecified connection" to --- the QoS-unspecified connection ---.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/615,719 Page 3

Art Unit: 2665

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yin et al. (US 5,982,748) in view of Ho et al. (US 6,687,254).

For claim 1, Yin et al. disclose, method and apparatus for controlling admission of connection requests, comprising:

receiving a QoS (Quality of Service) specified connection request (figure 3, reference 54, col. 5 lines 51-53);

calculating an assigned bandwidth on a link associated with the QoS-specified connection request (figure 3, reference 60, Table 2, col. 5 lines 61-62);

determining whether the QoS-specified connection request is accepted based on a combination of the assigned bandwidth and the average bandwidth (figure 3, col. 6 lines 9-19). However, Yin et al. does not disclose calculating an average bandwidth of all existing QoS-unspecified traffic on the link associated with the QoS specified connection request. In an analogous art, Ho et al. disclose calculating an average bandwidth for all existing QoS unspecified traffic on the link associated with the QoS-specified connection request (col. 11 lines 24-27).

One skilled in the art would have recognized calculating an average bandwidth for all existing QoS-unspecified traffic, an would have applied Ho et al's MCR in Yin et

Application/Control Number: 09/615,719 Page 4

Art Unit: 2665

al's connection request for a particular class of service. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Ho et al's flexible threshold based buffering system for use in digital communication devices in Yin et al's method and apparatus for controlling admission of connection requests with the motivation being fairly distribute buffer space based on the number of active connections and MCR proportions thereof (col. 11 lines 21-24).

For claim 11, Yin et al. disclose, method and apparatus for controlling admission of connection requests, comprising:

a controller (figure 1, reference 10, col. 4 lines 34-35) to receive a QoS (Quality of Service) specified connection request associated with a link (figure 3, reference 54, col. 5 lines 51-53); and

an admission manager to:

determine an assigned bandwidth on a link (figure 3, reference 60, Table 2, col. 5 lines 61-62);

determining whether the QoS-specified connection request is accepted based on a combination of the assigned bandwidth and the average bandwidth (figure 3, col. 6 lines 9-19).

However, Yin et al. does not disclose determine an average bandwidth of all existing QoS-unspecified traffic on the link. In an analogous art, Ho et al. disclose determine an average bandwidth of all existing QoS unspecified traffic on the link (col. 11 lines 24-27).

Art Unit: 2665

One skilled in the art would have recognized determine an average bandwidth of all existing QoS-unspecified traffic, and would have applied Ho et al's MCR in Yin et al's connection request for a particular class of service. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Ho et al's flexible threshold based buffering system for use in digital communication devices in Yin et al's method and apparatus for controlling admission of connection requests with the motivation being fairly distribute buffer space based on the number of active connections and MCR proportions thereof (col. 11 lines 21-24).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 2-5, and 12-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 6-10 and 16 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 6, the prior art fails to teach a combination of the steps of:

a call admission manager for calculating an estimated bandwidth by adding up average QoS-unspecified traffic for all existing QoS-unspecified connections on a link associated with a QoS-specified connection request, wherein the estimated bandwidth is a bandwidth to be assigned to the existing QoS-unspecified connections on the link, and determining whether the QoS-specified connection request is accepted based on a combination of the estimated bandwidth and an assigned bandwidth that is already assigned in the link, in the specific combination as recited in the claim.

Regarding claim 9, the prior art fails to teach a combination of the steps of:

a calculator for adding up existing QoS-unspecified traffic obtained at predetermined sampling time intervals to produce a first average QoS-unspecified traffic, and calculating an estimated bandwidth by averaging a predetermined number of the first average QoS unspecified traffic for existing QoS-unspecified connections on a link associated with a QoS-specified connection request, where the estimated bandwidth is a bandwidth to be assigned to the existing QoS-unspecified connections on the link; and

a call admission manager for determining whether the QoS-specified connection request is accepted based on a combination of the estimated bandwidth and an assigned bandwidth that is already assigned in the link, in the specific combination as recited in the claim.

Regarding claim 16, the prior art fails to teach a combination of the steps of:

means for adding the assigned bandwidth and the average bandwidth to produce
a currently assigned bandwidth on the link;

means for determining an available bandwidth of the link by subtracting the currently assigned bandwidth from a full bandwidth of the link, in the specific combination as recited in the claim.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3153. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00AM-4:30PM).

Art Unit: 2665

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Buşiness Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TN

MAN U. PHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER